1	MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY AND	
2	MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY,	
3	COLT GATEWAY, LSA, ESTATE OF DANIEL TABAS, AND FERTITTA ENTERPRISES, INC.'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY	
4	TO TERMINATE THE LOAN-SERVICING AGREEMENT	
5	FOR THE DIRECT LOAN TO COLT GATEWAY, LLC AND	
6	STATUS HEARING RE: MOTION TO ESTIMATE CLAIMS/ ESTIMATE AN ADEQUATE RESERVE FOR UNLIQUIDATED AND DISPUTED CLAIMS	
7	IN ORDER TO PERMIT FURTHER DISTRIBUTIONS TO FTDF MEMBERS  AND	
8	(06-01256) ORDER SHORTENING TIME RE: LIBERTY BANK'S MOTION TO INTERVENE	
9	AND	
10	(06-13758) APPLICATION TO EMPLOY SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON AS SPECIAL BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL	
11	TO TRUSTEE JAMES F. LISOWSKI, SR.,	
12	WITH DECLARATION OF ANTHONY A. ZMAILA, ESQ., IN SUPPORT  AND  (06-13925) APPLICATION TO EMPLOY	
13	SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON AS SPECIAL BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL	
14	TO TRUSTEE JAMES F. LISOWSKI, SR., WITH DECLARATION OF ANTHONY A. ZMAILA, ESQ., IN SUPPORT	
15 16	AND (06-01256) STATUS HEARING RE: FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND	
17	(06-01251) STATUS HEARING RE: AMENDED COMPLAINT AND	
18	(06-10725) INITIAL HEARING RE: EMERGENCY MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PROCEDURES	
19	AND JOINT EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDER RELATED TO IMPLEMENTATION OF CONFIRMED PLAN	
20	AND (06-13758) TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PROCEDURES	
21	TO ESTABLISH SALE DATE AND BIDDING PROCEDURES FOR THE SALE OF THE PALM SPRINGS MARQUIS VILLAS	
22	VOLUME 1 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA B. RIEGLE	
23	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE Thursday, February 15, 2007	
24	9:30 a.m.	
25	Court Recorder: Helen C. Smith Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Debtor and Debtor in Possession:	ANNETTE W. JARVIS, ESQ. Ray, Quinney & Nebeker, P.C.
3	Deptor in rossession.	36 South State 1400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145
4		JEANETTE E. McPHERSON, ESQ.
5		Schwartzer & McPherson Law Firm 2850 South Jones Boulevard
6		Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
7	For the First Trust	FRANK A. MEROLA, ESQ.
8 9	Deed Committee:	Stutman, Treister & Glatt, P.C. 1901 Avenue of the Stars Twelfth Floor
10		Los Angeles, California 90067
11	For the Lender Protection Group:	ALAN R. SMITH, ESQ. 505 Ridge Street
12		Reno, Nevada 89501 (Telephonic)
13	For Liberty Bank:	AMY E. VULPIO, ESQ.
14		White and Williams, LLP 1800 One Liberty Place Philadelpia, Pennsylvania 19103
15		(Telephonic)
16		WADE B. GOCHNOUR, ESQ. Haney, Woloson & Mullins
17		1117 South Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
18	For the Estate	JONATHAN J. BART, ESQ.
19	of Daniel Tabas:	Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.C. 90 Woodbridge Center Drive
20		Suite 900, Box 10 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095 (Telephonic)
22	For Dobt Aggrigition	-
23	For Debt Acquisition Company of America V, LLP:	DEAN T. KIRBY, JR., ESQ. Kirby & McGuinn, P.C. 600 B Street
24		Suite 1950 San Diego, California 92101
25		(Telephonic)

```
1
     APPEARANCES (Cont.):
 2
     For the Unsecured
                                SUSAN M. FREEMAN, ESQ.
     Creditors Committee
                               Lewis and Roca, LLP
 3
                               40 North Central Avenue
      of USA Commercial
                               Phoenix, Arizona 85004
     Mortgage Company:
 4
     For the Jones Vargas
                               JANET L. CHUBB, ESQ.
 5
     Direct Lenders and
                               Jones Vargas
     Fertitta Enterprises,
                               100 West Liberty
 6
                                Twelfth Floor
      Inc.:
                               Reno, Nevada 89501
 7
      For the First Trust
                               CANDACE C. CARLYON, ESQ.
 8
      Deed Fund Investors
                                Shea & Carlyon, Ltd.
                                233 South Fourth Street
      Committee:
 9
                                Suite 200
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10
      For Diversified Trust
                               MARC A. LEVINSON, ESQ.
11
      Deed Fund Committee:
                               CYNTHIA J. LARSEN, ESQ.
                                Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
12
                                400 Capitol Mall
                                Suite 300
13
                                Sacramento, California 95814
14
                               BOB L. OLSON, ESQ.
                                Beckley Singleton, Chtd.
15
                                530 Las Vegas Boulevard South
                               Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
16
      For the United States
                                J. MICHAL BLOOM, ESQ.
                                Office of the United States Trustee
17
      Trustee:
                                300 Las Vegas Boulevard South
18
                                Suite 4300
                               Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
19
      For the Direct
                               GREGORY E. GARMAN, ESQ.
20
      Lenders Committee:
                               Gordon & Silver, Ltd.
                               3960 Howard Hughes Parkway
2.1
                               Ninth Floor
                               Las Vegas, Nevada 89109
22
     For the Direct
                               SPENCER M. JUDD, ESQ.
23
     Lenders:
                               Albright, Stoddard, Warnick
                                  & Albright, P.C.
24
                                Ouail Park I, Building D
                                801 South Rancho Drive
25
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
```

```
1
      APPEARANCES (Cont.):
 2
      For Salvatore J. Reale:
                                DOUGLAS D. GERRARD, ESQ.
                                Gerrard, Cox & Larsen
 3
                                2450 St. Rose Parkway
                                Suite 200
 4
                                Henderson, Nevada 89074
 5
      For Dayco Fund
                                NILE LEATHAM, ESQ.
                                Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd.
      Incorporation:
 6
                                3320 West Sahara Avenue
                                Suite 380
 7
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
 8
      For James Feeney:
                                ZACHARIAH LARSON, ESQ.
                                Larson & Stephens
 9
                                425 South Sixth Street
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
10
      For Dr. Stanley
                                ROBERT C. LePOME, ESQ.
11
      Alexander and Others:
                                Law Offices of Robert C. LePome
                                330 South Third Street
12
                                1100-B
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
13
      For USA Commercial
                                DAVID W. HUSTON, ESQ.
14
                                601 South Seventh Street
     Mortgage Company
      in Interpleader Action:
                                Second Floor
15
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
16
      For Compass Partners,
                                GEORGE A. DAVIS, ESQ.
      LLC:
                                Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP
                                767 Fifth Avenue
17
                                New York, New York 10153
                                (Telephonic)
18
19
     For Unknown:
                                ANTHONY M. ZMAILA, ESQ.
                                Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney,
20
                                  Johnson & Thompson
                                400 South Fourth Street
2.1
                                Third Floor
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
22
      For USA Commercial
                                JEFFREY R. SYLVESTER, ESQ.
23
     Real Estate Group:
                                Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd.
                                7371 Prairie Falcon Road
24
                                Suite 120
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
25
```

```
6
1
      APPEARANCES (Cont.):
 2
      For Unknown:
                                RICHARD I. DREITZER, ESQ.
                                Attorney General's Office
                                555 East Washington Avenue
 3
                                Suite 3900
 4
                                Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 5
      For Unknown:
                                ELIZABETH LOVERIDGE, ESQ.
                                (phonetic)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
           (Court previously convened at 09:41:51 a.m.)
 2
           (Partial transcript at 11:07:11 a.m.)
 3
               THE COURT: And thank you, Mr. Dreitzer. That was
 4
     very helpful to have someone from the AG's Office to explain
 5
     these nuances to us.
 6
               MR. DREITZER: Oh, thank you, your Honor.
 7
               MS. JARVIS: And, your Honor, would you like me to
 8
     hand this up or do you want us to electronically upload it?
 9
               THE COURT: Would you electronically upload it.
10
               MS. JARVIS: Okay.
11
               THE COURT: You might want to stay, Mr. Dreitzer,
12
     because the comments I related on the inappropriate E-mail
13
     -- so you have a headup -- are in this next motion. So if
14
     you've got five minutes to stay, that would --
15
               MR. DREITZER: That's fine.
16
               THE COURT: -- probably be appropriate.
17
               MR. DREITZER: Your Honor, I don't have the motion
18
     in question. Maybe that's something --
19
                THE COURT: It's Ms. Chubb's motion to terminate
20
     this loan-servicing agreement for Colt.
2.1
               MR. DREITZER: I have not seen that.
2.2
               THE COURT: So we'll take that next. You don't
23
     need to appear. I mean, in other words, you don't need to
24
     make a formal appearance. It was just this relates to the
25
     comment I made to you --
```

```
1
               MR. DREITZER: Sure.
 2
               THE COURT: -- I wanted you to be aware of.
 3
               MS. CHUBB: Your Honor, our point was -- and I
 4
     think I know what you're addressing -- is that it's the
 5
     closing of Compass until this motion was filed yesterday
 6
     might have forestalled the effective date which affected --
 7
               THE COURT: This is what you said in your motion,
 8
     Ms. Chubb. You said in your reply, "How the State of Nevada
 9
     has indicated it's not going to license Compass," and then
10
     you attach --
11
               MS. CHUBB: Well --
12
               THE COURT: -- an affidavit --
13
               MS. CHUBB: Well, and they just did. They're not
14
     licensing them at --
15
               THE COURT: It said not --
16
               MS. CHUBB: -- immediately --
17
               THE COURT: It --
18
               MS. CHUBB: -- immediately.
19
               THE COURT: Your motion doesn't say that,
20
     Ms. Chubb.
2.1
               MS. CHUBB: No.
2.2
               THE COURT: Your --
23
               MS. CHUBB: My --
24
               THE COURT: Listen to me.
25
               MS. CHUBB: The entire point --
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Just let me finish.
 2
               MS. CHUBB: If you'll --
 3
               THE COURT: Your motion said --
 4
               MS. CHUBB: -- let me finish, I will.
 5
                THE COURT: -- "The State of Nevada has indicated
 6
     it's not going to license Compass" and attaches a Cangelosi
 7
     affidavit filed in another case --
 8
               MS. CHUBB: This is about the --
 9
               THE COURT: -- rank hearsay on hearsay. And on
     top --
10
11
               MS. CHUBB:
                           This is about the timing.
12
               THE COURT: Your motion doesn't say that.
13
               MS. CHUBB: You know that.
14
               THE COURT: On top --
15
               MS. CHUBB: You know this is about the timing.
16
     That it's irrelevant to our changing servicers that Compass
17
     is or isn't going --
18
               THE COURT: Ms. Chubb --
19
               MS. CHUBB: -- to close --
20
               THE COURT: -- just listen to me.
2.1
               MS. CHUBB: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Just let me finish. Okay? First, I
23
     mean, I shouldn't even mention this because it is rank
24
     hearsay, but I am so troubled by the absolute lack of ethics
25
     in submitting rank hearsay and making statements that aren't
```

```
1
     true in this pleading. Again, let me just finish.
 2
          This is what your pleading says. "The State of Nevada
 3
     has indicated it is not going to license Compass." It
 4
     attaches an affidavit of Ms. Cangelosi in another proceeding
 5
     which says I have got these E-mails that I was cc'd and
 6
     here's what it says.
 7
          It's purportedly from Mr. Bice (phonetic), and it
 8
     purportedly says is my intention is not to issue, and I am
 9
     thinking of withholding it. It doesn't say he is not going
10
     to.
11
               MS. CHUBB: We're only pointing out the timing
12
     issue and that we have no idea and as late as last night at
13
     6:00 o'clock have had no idea about when the plan would go
14
     effective and, therefore, affect the stay, and --
15
               THE COURT: You do not make statements that are
16
     untrue. You do not make --
17
               MS. CHUBB: And --
18
               THE COURT: -- statements based upon double
19
     hearsay. The concept that you would attempt to use as real
20
     evidence a statement by a state official based upon some
2.1
     purported E-mail from a third party absolutely violates
2.2
     every ethical --
23
               MS. CHUBB: Well --
24
               THE COURT: -- and evidentiary rule.
25
               MS. CHUBB: I don't agree with you. I am sorry
```

```
1
     you feel that way about it. I would really like to address
 2
     the issue here, and that is whether Fertitta and Tabas are
 3
     going to be able to service this loan and change servicers.
 4
               THE COURT: And you have a legitimate legal issue.
 5
     It doesn't do your case any good when you do --
 6
               MS. CHUBB: I --
 7
               THE COURT: -- these --
 8
               MS. CHUBB: I'm --
 9
               THE COURT: -- rank --
10
               MS. CHUBB: -- sorry --
11
               THE COURT: -- rank --
12
               MS. CHUBB: -- that we --
13
               THE COURT: -- problems.
14
               MS. CHUBB: -- that there was this
15
     misunderstanding. We were trying to show that we need to
16
     move --
17
               THE COURT: You don't do it by lies and
18
     misrepresentations.
19
               MS. CHUBB: Your Honor, I wish you wouldn't say
20
     that. Are you saying I am lying? Are you saying I'm lying?
21
                THE COURT: Your pleading says that they're not
22
     going to do it.
23
               MS. CHUBB: And we just had a motion here --
24
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
25
               MS. CHUBB: -- because they're not doing it.
```

```
1
     mean, it doesn't say ever, but it says now for purposes of
 2
     our motion it's not moving forward.
 3
          Until last night when we got the motion to clarify, it
     was not clear that Compass could even close, and it wasn't
 4
 5
     until you just ruled on it that we knew they could, so we
 6
     had a problem with timing.
 7
          Yes, maybe in the future someday. But for right now,
 8
     that wasn't going to happen until you just granted this
 9
     motion, so how could we not bring up the fact that we --
10
                THE COURT: You could --
               MS. CHUBB: -- have an issue?
11
12
                THE COURT: -- have said to date they haven't been
13
     licensed, period.
14
                MS. CHUBB: Okay. I should have said to date they
15
     haven't been licensed. Now, my legal issue that I'd like
16
     you to consider today about servicing is that HFA on
17
     Colt Gateway has now, apparently, according to the debtor --
18
     and, you know, we believe that's the case -- gotten a
19
     commitment, so they can pay this note off. They can pay
20
     10.9 million dollars.
2.1
          USA Mortgage is going to get about three of that.
22
     Diversified will get about three of that. The Fertitta
23
     estate is willing to waive what it believes is about
24
     $300,000 in default interest in order to get this closed.
25
     The Tabas estate is going to get paid.
```

```
1
          We are deathly afraid that this money will go away. We
 2
     can't just sit around while people have a loan commitment
 3
     and say we're not ready to take your money, yet.
 4
          If we are the servicer, if Fertitta can become the
 5
     servicer, we are committed to paying the money according to
 6
     what has been substantially set out for all of the
 7
     interested parties, the 10.9, and we're --
 8
               THE COURT: Now, how --
 9
               MS. CHUBB: -- (indiscernible) --
10
               THE COURT: Why wouldn't you have to be licensed?
               MS. CHUBB: Because we're a lender.
11
                                                     We can
12
     service our own loan.
13
               THE COURT: So DTFD (sic) can do it as well.
14
               MS. CHUBB: Well, the reason Diversified can't
15
     really do it is they have a huge conflict. They have this
16
     interest, and they'll get their money.
17
               THE COURT: No. But as a matter of licensing,
18
     they can do it. They don't have to be licensed.
19
               MS. CHUBB:
                            That's --
20
               THE COURT:
                           Right?
2.1
               MS. CHUBB:
                            I am just saying they shouldn't --
2.2
               THE COURT: Okay. But they can --
23
               MS. CHUBB: -- be allowed to do it.
24
               THE COURT: But you're --
25
               MS. CHUBB: And --
```

```
1
                THE COURT: -- not trying to tell me they couldn't
 2
     do it, either.
 3
                            They could -- well, you know, we have
                MS. CHUBB:
 4
     the issue about what the plan says and what the plan meant
 5
     and whether they are entitled under the plan because if you
 6
     read my declaration you will see the language in the
 7
     treatment of Diversified that says it retains its
 8
     loan-servicing agreement which Diversified now says means
 9
     that as part of the plan it received the right from USA to
10
     now service the loan. We disagree that the language could
11
     mean that, but that's Diversified's position.
12
          And I will say that it wasn't intentionally omitted
13
     from my motion because I had no idea when the motion was
14
     filed that Diversified interpreted the language that way.
15
          And, in fact, I was talking to Mr. Charles about the
16
      servicing because he and I both thought that USA Mortgage
17
     would be the servicer on that since it doesn't go to
18
     Compass.
19
          But it's the urgency that I want to address today that
20
     this money could go away, and we need to take it. And if
2.1
     there are disagreements --
2.2
                THE COURT: So you actually have a deal with the
23
     lender that would pay off the loan --
24
                MS. CHUBB: Well, what we have --
25
                THE COURT: -- the bar. Excuse me.
```

2.1

2.5

MS. CHUBB: What we have is -- and maybe Mr. Bart can weigh in on this because he's actually been dealing directly with HFA.

What we have -- and I think the debtors will agree with this -- that they have convinced us that they have this commitment and can pay the 10.9 percent, and we want to take that money before that commitment goes away.

And we think if Fertitta can become the servicer that we can put a lot of pressure on. Actually, most of this has materialized since we filed this motion, and we have sent out subpoenas for the records of HFA and set an examination of Mr. McFarland (phonetic), and things have started happening now.

And now they have money, and we want to be able to take the money, and we will deliver that money consistent with the interest of the parties.

If there are disagreements about the interest of the parties, the Court can decide those later, but, right now, we desperately need to take this deal, I mean, like tomorrow.

We are also concerned because there is now an appraisal, not from anybody in the case -- although I guess Hillco has been asked to do another one -- but from the lender that shows the to-date value at much less than the 10.9.

```
1
               MR. LEVINSON: What appraisal, and where is that
 2
     in the evidence?
 3
               MS. CHUBB: It's not in evidence. I was told
 4
     about it --
 5
               MS. JARVIS: Your --
               THE COURT: Don't --
 6
 7
               MS. CHUBB: -- by the debtors --
 8
               MS. JARVIS: Your Honor, I --
 9
               THE COURT: Please --
10
               MS. CHUBB: -- last night.
11
               MS. JARVIS: I would object to --
12
               THE COURT: Please --
13
               MS. JARVIS: -- discussing this --
14
               THE COURT: -- Ms. Chubb --
15
               MS. JARVIS: -- because it isn't in evidence, and
16
     I think it's not appropriate.
17
               MS. CHUBB: Okay. Well, that's a concern.
18
     the property may not be valued at this much. I'm sorry. I
19
     mean, the debtors told me, so I didn't think that --
20
               THE COURT: Well, but --
2.1
               MS. CHUBB: -- it was --
22
               THE COURT: Yeah, I know.
23
               MS. CHUBB: -- a secret.
24
               THE COURT: But your problem is you have a
25
     tendency to talk about things that aren't in evidence.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

```
MS. CHUBB: Okay. Well, you know, I only found
out last night, but it's a concern. There is urgency here.
We need to move forward and get this money in some way,
really need to get the money in some way and not let it go
away, and it's going to benefit everybody in the case.
     I know Diversified has some issues, but their issues --
and I don't know if the Court's aware of them -- are about
other loans that they have with Colt Gateway.
     But our loan is there. It's documented.
only three direct lenders on it, Diversified, Tabas estate,
and Fertitta, and we desperately want to get the money in.
     So we would like to become the -- and we'd like the
stay terminated. The stay terminates on the effective date,
but nobody knows when the effective date is, yet, and I
don't know how long that could go on. I just don't want
this money to get away, so --
          THE COURT: Okay.
         MS. CHUBB: -- I --
         MR. BART: May --
         MS. CHUBB: -- appreciate --
         MR. BART: May I chime in now, your Honor?
          THE COURT: Hang on one second, please.
         MR. DREITZER: Your Honor, I don't know if the
Court is focussing on this. But if the Court requires
certain assurances about Mr. Bice's conduct, I'm happy to
```

```
1
     investigate it and provide further information if that's
 2
     what the Court needs.
 3
                THE COURT: No. I just want to make you aware of
 4
     it because I didn't want you to be blindsided --
 5
                MR. DREITZER: I appreciate that.
 6
                THE COURT: -- by it because these are floating
 7
     around in documents that were filed in various courts.
 8
                MR. DREITZER: Right.
 9
                THE COURT: And I wanted you to be aware of it,
10
     and I've got to admit I do have concerns because this is a
11
     state agency that has to abide by administrative
12
     regulations, and --
13
                MR. DREITZER: Oh, certainly, but I think the
14
     E-mails -- I have read them. They're not pretty, but they
15
     certainly don't say that there's been a definitive decision
16
     made either way.
17
                THE COURT: Yes. And I'm sure he's opened them.
     I'm sure he wants to hear from all sides.
18
19
               MR. DREITZER: He certainly does.
20
                THE COURT: And it's probably one of those things
     where he -- you know, again, you know, we tend to forget
2.1
2.2
      judges can't make any ex parte contacts whereas state
23
     administrators need to hear from all sides, and they do have
24
     meetings.
25
               MR. DREITZER: They --
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And I'm sure that was part of the
2
     intent, and I just want to make him aware that certain sides
 3
     are using -- it was probably innocent comments --
 4
                MR. DREITZER: Right.
 5
                THE COURT: -- against him.
 6
               MR. DREITZER: They shouldn't make those comments,
 7
     but they do, so I'll --
 8
                THE COURT: Okay.
 9
               MR. DREITZER: I appreciate it.
10
          Thank you.
11
                THE COURT: Thank you.
12
               MR. DREITZER: Thank you now.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Opposition.
14
          Oh, I'm sorry. Who wanted to be heard on the phone?
15
               MR. BART: Jonathan Bart. I represent the Tabas
16
     estate. Your Honor, we're one of the direct lenders, the
17
     three direct lenders, on this particular loan.
18
          With Fertitta, we constitute over 50 percent of the
19
     outstanding first lien amount which under the servicing
20
     agreement entitles us to name the servicer.
2.1
          Frankly, the issue of who is servicing is not as
22
      important as who makes the decision on accepting the deal
23
     that is out there because there hasn't been any servicing on
24
     this loan since early in 2005.
25
           The loan has matured. It is in default, and the
```

2.1

borrower wants to pay it off. There is a deal on the table which not only would pay off the three first liens -- and if I may add one other thing?

I'm stuck because on behalf of Tabas we have another direct loan in a Florida project, Palm Harbor. And because the debtor claims that there were overpayments above what this borrower paid in this project, they have withheld sums from the other project unless this gets paid off.

And by the way, part of the deal is they will release what they have held on the other one. We're in trouble on the other one because the borrower will not pay that which it has already paid.

So we have to untangle ourselves from the debtor who is not going to be servicing in the future. It has not interest in this loan at all.

It is not being purchased by Compass, and the deal that's out there gives the unsecured creditors a million-and-a-half dollars.

Now, when did this deal materialize? When we in connection with this motion subpoenaed Mr. McFarland, the principal of Colt Gateway, and got to the bottom of what dealings there are with respect to -- I think the Diversified committee's issue were over undocumented loans. That can't be an issue that holds up a settlement like this.

Whatever loans they have which is -- I know that the

2.1

McFarland Group calls it an equity interest -- it would not prime recorded first liens.

There have been no loan documents produced, and anything that they have there would be at best a loan which would be primed by any judgment creditor or any recorded interest, and that is being used to hold up what is a deal.

Now, Diversified, yes, could it service the loan? Yes.

But it has, obviously, a conflict of interest in representing as a fiduciary to majority mortgageholders who don't have that same interest.

And, right now, what we're just talking about is allowing what the documents provide which is for the majority in interest to take over servicing of the loan.

Now, because the effective date is unclear and has apparently been put off from what everybody thought it was originally which was the funding, then it does make a real difference that relief be granted, so that the parties with the contractual right to service the loan get to service the loan.

In the meantime, the unsecured creditors get a tremendous bonus from this deal. They get a million-five of which several-hundred thousand comes from Fertitta giving up default interest which they had the right to collect.

So, I mean, that's the real issue here, your Honor.

It's not a matter of who is going to collect fees for taking

```
1
      interest payments and doing other servicing jobs.
 2
           It's a matter of a loan that has matured. There is
 3
      somebody willing to pay it off. They have a commitment from
 4
     the construction lender there to pay it off.
 5
          And, you know, as was said before, there is just no --
 6
     the debtor certainly doesn't have an interest in stopping
 7
     it.
          It benefits the creditors.
 8
          There is really nothing under bankruptcy law or under
 9
     the contractual rights of the parties that entitles
10
     Diversified to stop either the majority from servicing if
     you want to look at the discrete issue or from accepting a
11
12
     payoff which they're going to benefit from, anyway, just
13
     because they think they can get a better deal.
14
                THE COURT: All right. I guess I'm confused.
15
     Now, obviously, Colt Gateway isn't going to pay off this
16
     particular entire loan, right?
                MR. BART: Well, it's certainly the first lien.
17
18
     The mortgages, yes, it is paying off. It's paying off all
19
     the mortgages.
20
                THE COURT: I mean, because the point is this.
2.1
     If, obviously, you've got a note to someone, and they are
22
     offering to pay off everything that's under the note, that's
23
     the end of the story, so there's got to be more than that to
```

MR. BART: Well, from our point of view, there

24

25

this. They're not --

2.1

2.2

```
isn't, and, I mean, I'll let Diversified speak for
Diversified because it's never been articulated to me why
they would object to this.
```

I mean, the deal that's on the table gives them for these undocumented what they call loans, what the McFarland Group calls equity contributions, they are willing to give them collateral that they now don't otherwise have, so I don't know what it is.

I mean, all I can say is what's been represented to me through hearsay -- and I'm not going to even repeat that -- is it just doesn't make sense, but the fact is that the offer on the table will pay all the mortgages on the property.

MR. LEVINSON: Well, this is Marc Levinson for Diversified.

First off, Mr. Bart, everything you said has been hearsay. There's no evidence in the record of that, any of this.

But let's take a step back and see where we are,
your Honor. This is a motion for relief from stay. Should
you today prior to the effective date occurring permit the
servicer to be changed?

We think that no cause exists for you doing that. Let me give you some background, first, what we're talking about with these various loans.

```
1
           There is a first trust deed of which there are three
 2
     participants, Diversified and Tabas estate and Fertitta.
 3
     And as Ms. Chubb said before, our share is about
 4
     3.1 million which we would love to get paid, the Tabas is
 5
     about 5- or 600,000, and I believe Fertitta is about 3.2 or
 6
     3.3 million with interest. We would love to be paid that
 7
     amount.
 8
          There is a second trust deed.
 9
                THE COURT: Now, is --
10
               MR. LEVINSON: And for --
11
                THE COURT: Is Coltway (sic) offering to pay that
12
     loan in full?
13
                MR. LEVINSON: We don't know, your Honor. I mean,
14
     Mr. Bart has testified eloquently about what the deal is.
15
     We have not seen the deal.
16
          We have heard that Colt is coming up with some
17
     sort of a term sheet. We haven't seen it. We've seen no
18
     evidence of any cooperation from Colt or its parent HFA over
19
     the past number of months. We asked them for documents
20
     three months ago. We have never gotten any. They have been
2.1
     totally uncooperative.
2.2
          What are the other loans we're talking about? Again,
23
     there's the second trust deed to the mortgage company. We'd
24
     love to see that paid. We'd like to see the mortgage
25
     company get paid. We're the largest creditor in that
```

1 estate. 2 There are three unsecured loans to my client. 3 Remember, Diversified was the dumping grounds of all things 4 Milanowski. 5 And we've got three loans that total I think 5.6 or 6.5 million -- I forget which -- no note, no deed of trust 6 7 on the books and records of both the Colt and the 8 Diversified Fund, so we know they're there. We can prove 9 them. 10 With interest, that adds up to something like \$10,000,000. We would have liked to have gotten a 11 12 resolution of that from Colt as well, but they're not 13 cooperating. 14 We have disputes with the mortgage company about how 15 the payoff if there were a payoff of the first trust deed 16 and the second would be allocated with issues that we'll 17 talk about in a moment. 18 We've got a way we think we can resolve that before 19 your Honor if we can't resolve it by ourselves, but we have 20 no evidence at all that this loan is going to pay off. 2.1 It's ironic that we have this testimony that the loan 2.2 is going to pay off, and, yet, they ought to change the loan 23 servicer. 24 It sounds like if the loan servicer has gotten some 25 sort of a payoff it can take the payoff itself, and we don't

2.1

need to put Fertitta in there and the Tabas estate. We can leave it with the servicer that we have.

One other irony while I'm here about ironies. With this declaration from Donna Cangelosi, it's kind of funny that Ms. Chubb invokes that that Compass isn't going to get licensed when in a sense Ms. Cangelosi was trying to help create the fact that Compass wouldn't be licensed.

It's the old orphan who throws himself on the mercy of the Court for killing his parents that they have created this problem, and then they try to profit by it.

What we propose for Diversified -- and I believe

Ms. Freeman will join for the mortgage company -- is to keep
the servicing with the mortgage company, deny the motion for
relief from stay, or set it for a final hearing 30 days from
now.

If the effective date occurs, you don't have to worry about this. We'll have to deal with it later because there will be no automatic stay. We believe that the plan makes it clear it goes to Diversified.

But we can fight with them over that if and when the effective date happens. In the meantime, allow the mortgage company to continue to service.

The debtors will file with the court -- and we have to talk about a schedule -- a motion or some other way to tee up to you the dispute between the mortgage company and

Diversified over the payoff of the first trust deed.

We would like to get it paid. We'd like to have that heard on a somewhat expedited basis. We think there are just a couple of clean legal issues you can resolve if it gets paid off.

But in the meantime, we want the mortgage company to collect the loan. We can tee-up our dispute, but, again, that should be the mortgage company doing this. Fertitta and Tabas have no incentive to get that issue resolved.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Charles.

I mean Ms. Freeman.

2.1

MS. FREEMAN: Susan Freeman for the Unsecured Creditors Committee of USACM. Your Honor, we would like to have this loan collected, too.

We think part of the problem in having this loan collected quickly is that there is a conflict between the USACM estate and the DTDF estate with respect to funds.

And there certainly are issues and rights to money on the part of the USACM estate including not only the second lien that ought to be paid, but, also, default interest and fees.

And there's an issue with respect to whether Fertitta is entitled to the default interest or whether USACM is entitled to default interest, so there are these issues that need to get resolved.

```
1
          We would love to have an offer that is in writing that
 2
     spells out how much it's going to be paid, give it to us,
 3
     and let it get accepted, and then let the parties divide up
 4
     the money.
 5
          And so our request is that this stay not be lifted,
 6
     that the Court set a continued hearing on it in a relatively
 7
     short time, and allow the debtors to go ahead and bring
 8
     before the Court a motion to allow this offer to be accepted
 9
     and bring before the Court a motion that will allow the
10
     parties to either reach an agreement with respect to
11
     dividing up the money or let the Court determine who gets
12
     what money if it comes to that, and we can't reach an
13
     agreement, but let's get this offer accepted if we, in fact,
14
     have an offer --
15
                THE COURT: Okay.
16
                MS. FREEMAN: -- which we would all like to have.
17
                THE COURT: Ms. Jarvis.
18
               MS. JARVIS: Your Honor, as you have heard, there
19
     are significant debtor interests in these loans, not as --
20
                THE COURT: So what is the servicer doing now?
2.1
                MS. JARVIS: Well --
2.2
                THE COURT: I'm unclear --
23
               MS. JARVIS: Yeah. Let me --
24
                THE COURT: -- about these negotiations.
25
               MS. JARVIS: Yeah.
                                    Yeah.
```

```
1
                THE COURT: And I don't know --
 2
               MS. JARVIS: Right.
 3
                THE COURT: -- who they're coming from and who
 4
     is --
 5
               MS. JARVIS: And, of course --
                THE COURT: -- doing what.
 6
 7
                MS. JARVIS: -- everyone's talking about
 8
     negotiations that they know nothing about, I mean, except
 9
     for us.
10
           I mean, Mr. Allison and I have been personally
     involved in negotiating with HFA for a long time. As you
11
12
     know, several HFA loans have been paid off during the course
13
     of this bankruptcy. They are one of the significant
14
     borrowers.
15
          This loan is of, you know, a particular -- it is
16
     particularly problematic because of the fact that you've got
17
     a first loan with two direct lenders and Diversified in it,
18
     a second loan that is Commercial Mortgage as the lender, and
19
     then these three undocumented loans that are Diversified.
20
           So in negotiating those, you know, part of the
     negotiations, you know, involved what the lender would be
2.1
2.2
     willing to do with respect to dealing with them all together
23
     which so far they've only been willing to try to figure out
24
     something with them all together or separating them off.
25
     Those discussions, you know, are still ongoing.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

The reason why there has been more increased activity lately is because the attorneys and principals of HFA know that in these complex negotiations that have gone on -- and we've included the committees in these negotiations as well -- that there is a changing of the guard that's coming. And so what's happening now is the culmination of a lot of work by the debtors for months and months and months since at least last summer that have gone on in trying to get these loans paid. And we did receive this morning a written filing, a written offer, basically, to pay this off. If this offer is -- if we can get this documented and done, we do intend to go forward and file a motion to get this approved. We do feel like it needs to be a motion because there are so many conflicting issues that need to be decided as to where the money goes. THE COURT: So it's not like they're willing to pay -- it is not like they're willing to say the first mortgage is Y dollars, and we're going to pay that. MS. JARVIS: Right. You know, it's a package issue. THE COURT: Okay. MS. JARVIS: So they have to decide, I mean, you know, whether they are going to make an offer to say we'll

pay off the first and second, and we'll allow the other

2.1

2.2

```
ones, you know, to just be dealt with in the future. We'll pay off the first and second, and we'll deal with the other loans in a certain way.
```

So it's, you know, the offer depends on what offer, you know, is finally worked out. And if there is an offer that we can take before the Court that pays off the first and second which we believe we will get — we do have, you know, something in writing as of this morning — we will file that.

But there is no reason at this point to change servicers to give relief from the stay, not to allow the debtors to finish, you know, the work that has been done for months and months and months to get this paid off which we believe is going to culminate in a full payoff of these two direct lenders.

So we would ask the Court to continue the stay. I think what Mr. Levinson said is exactly what should be done. The stay should stay in effect.

There is no cause to lift it at this point in time.

And in a month's time, hopefully, we'll have an effective plan, and, hopefully, this will be paid off by then, and -
THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JARVIS: And we will do it by a motion to the Court, so that all parties, you know, can have an opportunity to be heard.

2.1

I might also mention that, you know, there are disputes Ms. Chubb said that Fertitta has the right to default interest. That is something that was raised recently. It is something that we would contest.

They raised that claim on the fact that they have no loan-servicing agreement that they signed with Commercial Mortgage, so that is also an issue, you know, that is disputed, so we would ask the Court to keep the stay in effect.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Chubb.

MS. CHUBB: Your Honor, the Tabas estate and

Fertitta have rights in these loans, and they have the right
to be paid off, and all of these other issues are really
unrelated and should not hold them up and put them at risk.

As you heard from Mr. Bart, the Tabas estate has other moneys being held up. Fertitta has \$1,000,000 that's being held up on another loan pending the payment payoff of this loan.

\$670,000 has accrued on this loan since the filing. There's \$90,000 every month that increases. That money's just being lost. There is no servicing here. We need to have this done and done right away. A month is just too, too long.

As I stated before, you know, this has come to a head because we've gotten aggressive, and we've tried to push the

debtor into doing something, and it's ready to be done.

A month is just far, far too long. You know how a loan commitment can go away in a month or their willingness to pay.

You know, I don't want something bad to happen like a bankruptcy of HFA that really puts everybody at risk. We need to be able to service this loan, and there is no reason that's been given why the stay shouldn't be terminated and let us be the servicer.

There are only three people here. We're going to collect the loan, and we're going to pay it as the Court would, you know, have us pay it. But at least, we'll get the money on the table.

If you're going to hear this motion, I'd ask that you hear it next week at the latest because we don't have to have everything in place as to people, you know, debtors, fighting over where the money goes.

And the default-interest rate, you know, as I expressed before, Fertitta is willing to waive the substantial majority of any default interest.

And with no loan-servicing agreement, there can be no argument that anybody else is entitled to it, but Fertitta in order to move forward here is willing to waive most of it.

So we really, really desperately need to become the

```
1
      servicer or to have this heard forthwith. I mean, a month
 2
     is just too long in this deal.
 3
           It's been going on as Ms. Jarvis said for nine months.
 4
     Nothing's happened. Now we could maybe get something to
 5
     happen, and we need to have it happen soon, immediately.
 6
               MR. BART: And, your Honor, if I may have the last
 7
     word?
 8
                THE COURT: Um-h'm.
 9
               MR. BART: Jonathan Bart for the Tabas estate. I
10
     think Mr. Levinson did correctly state the issue. I mean,
     the legal issue before you is there cause for relief, and I
11
12
     think that there really is no factual dispute here.
13
          The contractual right of servicing belongs to the
14
     majority at interest. There is cause for relief in that the
15
     debtor has not serviced this loan.
16
          Conversely, the loan has grown. It has matured.
17
     were any situation in which the debtor was the borrower, we
18
     would get relief from stay.
19
           I mean, I don't see an argument that because they're a
20
     servicer there shouldn't be relief from stay.
     has no interest in this loan. The unsecured creditors are
2.1
2.2
     going to get a benefit.
23
          But if Ms. Jarvis is saying that, you know, now -- I
24
     mean, it was introduced before is that what I was saying
```

there's an agreement, there's no agreement, well, there is

25

```
1
     obviously an agreement. She acknowledges getting the
 2
     agreement.
 3
           If there is an agreement, and she wants to put together
     a motion -- and I agree, a week. I mean, there is no reason
 4
 5
     if there is an agreement in place which I have seen -- so,
 6
     you know, she has, too -- we can have a motion to approve.
 7
          And if no motion to approve is put forth in a week,
 8
     then let's have this on the merits on relief from stay and
 9
     let those with the contractual right to service it then make
10
     the decision as to whether to do the deal.
11
          But I'm certainly willing to sit back if the agreement
12
     is there and have a motion filed within a week based on that
13
     and let the distribution be determined through whatever
14
     objection process would be fair.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Levinson.
16
                MR. LEVINSON: I heard for the first time when
     Ms. Jarvis said at the podium that she has received a term
17
18
     sheet or whatever it is this morning. I'm delighted to hear
19
     it. I'll be delighted to see it.
20
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
2.1
                MR. LEVINSON: Susan, have you seen it?
2.2
               MS. FREEMAN: (Indiscernible).
23
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
24
                MR. LEVINSON: The mortgage company hasn't seen
25
     it, either. I'm delighted to see it. If it comes in, and
```

1 it's something with which we can work, that's great. 2 And, again, I would suggest, your Honor, just because 3 you set this out to the normal time of 30 days from now it 4 doesn't mean that the mortgage company won't collect in the 5 meantime. It sounds like the mortgage company is making 6 great steps to collect in the meantime. 7 Secondly, once the effective date occurs -- and, Lord 8 willing, it will occur soon -- then you don't have to have 9 this problem because the stay will be terminated then by the 10 confirmation order, and we don't have to worry about that. THE COURT: I did think it was interesting that 11 12 Ms. Chubb filed her motion on the order shortening time the 13 same day that she ex parte got the stay on appeal. 14 MS. CHUBB: Different issues. 15 THE COURT: Which would delay things. 16 MS. CHUBB: No. It actually didn't, and, you

MS. CHUBB: No. It actually didn't, and, you know, your Honor, I did go back and read this. The relief that was requested was not as broad as you thought it should be.

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

It was just that the funds in the segregated account not be distributed, and that's all the relief that was granted. It wasn't to do with anybody's payments being held up here.

Could we have a date next week where we can have a motion heard or I can -- if we don't have something resolved

```
1
     by then, I can ask you to let us service the loan.
 2
                THE COURT: Okay.
 3
                MS. JARVIS: Your Honor, as Mr. Levinson said, we
     have a limited period of time, anyway, before the stay ends,
 4
 5
     and, you know, this offer has been made, you know, through
 6
     the debtors as a result of many months of negotiation.
 7
          Let us finish dealing with this and see if we can get
 8
     this done, and I'll file within the time period we are left
 9
     and allowed to under law.
10
                THE COURT: So what I'm going to do is by
     February 28th you either have to have a written offer which
11
     is filed or file a notice of default, whatever you need to
12
13
     do to commence foreclosure. And if --
14
               MS. CHUBB: Well --
15
                THE COURT: Ms. Chubb, just wait.
           If that isn't done, the stay lifts. If it is done,
16
17
     then we'll have a continued status hearing on the 1st, and
18
     then the 15th would be the final hearing.
19
               MS. JARVIS: Okay. And, your Honor, let me just
20
     make sure that it's clear. I mean, the stay lifting doesn't
2.1
     mean that the servicer change is necessary.
2.2
                THE COURT: No.
23
               MS. JARVIS: It just means the parties can do
24
     whatever they have rights to do.
25
                THE COURT: Exactly.
```

```
1
                MS. JARVIS: The fact that Fertitta has no
 2
     loan-servicing agreement is at issue with respect to even
 3
     their rights that they're trying to invoke under the
 4
      loan-servicing agreement, so it's just whatever --
 5
                THE COURT: Right.
 6
                MS. JARVIS: Whatever happens is --
 7
                THE COURT: Right.
 8
                MS. JARVIS: It's --
 9
                THE COURT: So if by February 28th you don't have
10
     an offer or don't start a foreclosure, that seems to me to
11
     show cause that the servicer hasn't done what the servicer's
12
     supposed to do, and there is -- and let me go back.
13
           The reason is as a preliminary matter I should deny it
14
     is because everybody's acknowledged there is no money coming
15
     in.
16
          And the bald accusation that the servicer has done
17
     nothing is false is contradicted by Mr. Allison's affidavit
18
     on personal knowledge unlike all of these other hearsay
19
     statements that he has been negotiating, so he is doing
20
     something.
2.1
                MS. JARVIS: Yes.
2.2
                THE COURT: Now, how long should this last?
23
     Obviously, if the plan goes effective, the stay lifts,
24
     anyway, but I think it's important since he is doing
25
     something to allow that process to finish.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

```
By the same token, it has got to come to a conclusion
and these parties be let free of the servicing agreement if
nothing is being done.
     And I think the way to test that is to require the
written offer by the 28th. Or if they don't do it, take the
appropriate steps to cause the foreclosure process to have
begun.
     If that's not done, the stay lifts, and all it means is
the servicing agreement is terminated, and they can go
forward and do whatever they want to do. If that is filed,
then we'll discuss it on the 1st, but the final hearing
won't be until the 15th.
     If the parties all agree it's an offer, and you all
agree to an order shortening time, and all the parties that
are affected agree to it, we can certainly shorten that
time.
     But I don't want to have the hearing on the sale on the
1st. I just want to -- we'll just use that for a status,
but the final hearing will be the 15th.
          MS. CHUBB: Hearing on the sale --
          MS. JARVIS: Thank you, your Honor.
         MS. CHUBB: -- in that --
          THE COURT: In other words --
```

THE COURT: -- we'll discuss on the 1st what's --

MS. CHUBB: What sale?

```
1
     we'll have a status hearing on this motion on the 1st. At
     which time, we'll discuss what you want to do about that
 2
 3
     offer --
               MS. CHUBB: So --
 4
               THE COURT: -- assuming there's an offer.
 5
 6
               MS. CHUBB: So a motion has to be filed by the
 7
     28th or some action taken? What you said was if there's an
 8
     offer by the 28th, but do you mean an offer embodied in a
 9
     motion?
10
               THE COURT: Yeah. They have --
               MS. JARVIS: Yes.
11
12
               THE COURT: -- to file a written --
13
               MS. JARVIS: Yes.
14
               THE COURT: I think it's probably better --
15
               MS. JARVIS: Yeah. I --
16
               THE COURT: -- to make it --
               MS. JARVIS: I would --
17
18
               THE COURT: -- as a motion.
19
               MS. JARVIS: I would file it --
20
               MS. CHUBB: Okay.
2.1
               MS. JARVIS: -- as a motion. I think that's the
22
     appropriate way to file --
23
               THE COURT: Sure.
24
               MS. JARVIS: -- something with the court.
25
               THE COURT: Right.
```

```
1
               MS. CHUBB: Okay.
 2
                THE COURT: Right.
 3
               MS. CHUBB: And if everybody could agree on
 4
      something, could we submit this by --
 5
                THE COURT: Of course.
 6
               MS. CHUBB: -- stipulation?
 7
                THE COURT: And the only reason I hesitate is
 8
     because I am unclear enough about the other parameters as to
 9
     the unsecureds.
10
          But if I'm convinced that everybody is just a party to
     this transaction, yes, and the only reason I hesitate is I
11
12
     don't know who all is impacted.
13
               MS. CHUBB: Okay.
14
                THE COURT: But if, for example, it's one
15
     particular loan -- and the point is this. It seems to me if
16
     Colt says here's the money on this deed of trust the
17
     servicer can't not take it.
18
               MS. CHUBB: Well, that's --
19
                THE COURT: But I don't think that's what they're
20
              You don't have any evidence that that's what
2.1
     they're saying. I have no evidence --
2.2
               MR. BART: Well, when --
23
                THE COURT: -- from you.
24
                MR. BART: Yeah. If you schedule an evidentiary
25
     hearing on the 1st, your Honor, I will present my evidence.
```

```
1
     I didn't see today as being an evidentiary hearing --
 2
               THE COURT: I don't --
 3
               MR. BART: -- in any event.
               THE COURT: -- even have an affidavit from you.
 4
 5
     I'm not scheduling an evidentiary hearing on the 1st.
 6
               MR. BART: I thought that would be the date you
 7
     would schedule it. That's the scheduling hearing, is it
 8
     not?
 9
               THE COURT: The 1st we will discuss is a status.
10
               MR. BART: That's correct. That's what I mean,
11
     your Honor.
12
               MS. CHUBB: And we could submit affidavits for
13
     that hearing about the status of what FHA (sic) is willing
14
     to do I presume.
15
               MS. JARVIS: Well, my understanding is what you're
16
     asking us to do --
17
               MS. CHUBB: HFA.
18
               MS. JARVIS: -- on the 1st is just to report a
19
     status, have we filed the motion, what do we need to do to
20
     then --
2.1
               THE COURT: Right.
22
               MS. JARVIS: -- have the hearing --
23
               THE COURT: If you have filed the motion --
24
               MS. JARVIS: -- on the 15th.
25
               THE COURT: -- to sell, the question is when do we
```

```
1
     actually set the sale motion.
 2
               MS. CHUBB: It's --
 3
               THE COURT: Do we set --
 4
               MS. CHUBB:
                           It's not a motion --
 5
               THE COURT:
                           The sale motion --
               MS. CHUBB: -- to sell. It's a --
 6
 7
               THE COURT: -- for the --
 8
               MS. CHUBB: It's a motion to accept --
 9
               THE COURT: Excuse me.
10
               MS. CHUBB: -- a payoff.
11
               THE COURT: Accept a payoff.
12
               MS. CHUBB: Okay.
13
               THE COURT: I used the wrong term. I apologize.
14
               MS. CHUBB: That's okay, but we need to -- I mean,
15
     that's a month, your Honor. If they, in fact, do have some
16
     kind of a commitment, we need to know before then.
17
               THE COURT: That's --
18
               MS. CHUBB: Can't we --
19
               THE COURT: -- the 15th.
20
               MS. CHUBB: -- hear that --
21
               THE COURT: The 28th is a little more than a week
22
     away, and there's a holiday in the meantime.
23
               MS. CHUBB: Can we hear it on the 1st, though?
2.4
               MS. JARVIS: I think --
25
               THE COURT: If it's ready to go, we can, but it
```

```
1
     might not be.
 2
                MS. CHUBB: Well, it's more likely to be ready to
 3
     go if you say it's going to be heard on the 1st than if you
 4
     say it's going to be heard on the 15th just because, you
 5
     know --
 6
                THE COURT: Well, they have --
 7
               MS. CHUBB: -- the squeaky --
 8
                THE COURT: -- to file it by the 28th.
 9
               MS. CHUBB: Right.
10
                THE COURT: All right. Let's make you file it on
11
     the 27th. File your motion by the 27th.
12
               MS. JARVIS: Okay.
13
                MS. CHUBB: And then --
14
                THE COURT: That gives them one day before the
15
     hearing to decide is everybody in agreement or not in
16
     agreement.
17
                MS. JARVIS: Okay.
18
                THE COURT: And I would hope that you're
19
     negotiating with these people in the meantime. That
20
     you're --
2.1
                MS. JARVIS: Well, we --
22
                THE COURT: -- not --
23
               MS. JARVIS: We have been.
24
                THE COURT: -- excluding the parties.
25
               MS. JARVIS: I mean, we've been negotiating with
```

```
1
     everyone, and it is like you said. It's a complicated issue
2
     because it's not just -- it's what offer do we have from
 3
     them. I mean, are they willing to separate, you know, the
 4
     loans. Are they willing to do something --
 5
               THE COURT: Sure.
 6
               MS. JARVIS: -- that deals with all the loans, so
 7
     it's not --
 8
               THE COURT: So --
 9
               MS. JARVIS: -- you know --
10
               THE COURT: And --
11
               MS. CHUBB: And --
12
               MS. JARVIS: It's not --
13
               MS. CHUBB: But --
14
               THE COURT: And that's --
15
               MS. JARVIS: -- simple.
16
               THE COURT: -- the way they want to deal with it.
17
     If it's a motion --
18
               MS. JARVIS: Right.
19
               THE COURT: -- to accept the payoff, and it
20
     doesn't work --
2.1
               MS. JARVIS: Exactly.
22
               MS. CHUBB: But --
23
               THE COURT: -- we'll say, fine, this all blows up.
24
               MS. JARVIS: Okay.
25
               THE COURT: All of you go on your own merry way.
```

```
1
               MS. CHUBB: The problem is they're making deals
 2
      unrelated to us on our time and money. That's the problem,
 3
      and that's why we need to move forward if we could.
 4
                MS. JARVIS: The problem is --
 5
               MS. CHUBB: So --
 6
               MS. JARVIS: -- we have fine loans, your Honor,
 7
      and we're trying to deal with them.
 8
               MS. CHUBB:
                            I know.
 9
               MS. JARVIS: And if we can --
10
               MS. CHUBB: If --
11
               MS. JARVIS: If we --
12
                THE COURT: I know --
13
               MS. JARVIS: -- get --
14
               THE COURT: And that's why each loan --
15
               MS. JARVIS: Yeah.
16
                THE COURT: -- needs to be dealt -- that's why I
17
      want an offer out there, so that --
18
               MS. JARVIS: That is correct.
19
                THE COURT: -- they can see what the issue is.
20
                MS. JARVIS: That is correct.
21
                THE COURT: And I understand. It may well be that
22
     a different loan is going to be affected, but that's the way
23
      it is. I mean, if the person is offering a full payoff, you
24
      can't refuse it.
25
          But I don't hear that happening, I gather, and which
```

```
1
     makes sense. The person is saying here's Y dollars. You
 2
      apply it the way you want.
 3
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
 4
                THE COURT: So all right.
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
 5
 6
                THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 7
                MR. LEVINSON: Thank you, your Honor.
 8
           (Thereupon, the portion requested to be transcribed
 9
           was concluded at 11:47:07 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
           I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
      from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in
 2
 3
      the above-entitled matter.
 4
 5
 6
      /s/ Lisa L. Cline
                                                          02/23/07
     Lisa L. Cline, Transcriptionist
 7
                                                            Date
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```